OMB Control No.: 0970-0566 Expiration Date: 03/31/2027

Information from the semi-annual Administration for Children and Families (ACF) performance progress report (PPR) will be used by the Office of Family Assistance (OFA) to meet grants management requirements and by grantees themselves to self-monitor progress and challenges (continuous quality improvement (CQI)). Semi-annual progress reports are due within 30 days of the end of each 6-month reporting period, which are:

- Reporting Period 1: September 30 March 31; Report Due: April 30
- Reporting Period 2: September 30 September 29; Report Due: October 30

The PPR consists of the following four parts, with both qualitative and quantitative descriptions of program performance:

Part 1: SF-PPR ACF Performance Progress Report

Found at:

http://web.archive.org/web/20221217014746/https:/www.acf.hhs.gov/grants/discretionary-postaward-requirements

Part 2: Appendix B – Qualitative (narrative) description of program indicators:

- B-01 Performance Narrative
- B-02 Major Activities and Accomplishments
- B-03 Problems
- B-04 Significant Findings and Events
- B-05 Dissemination Activities
- B-06 Other Activities
- B-07 Activities Planned for the Next Reporting Period
- B-08 Selected Participant Outcomes

Part 3: Appendix C – Quantitative (numeric) performance measures:

- C-01 Recruitment
- C-02 Applicant Characteristics
- C-03 Program Enrollment
- C-04 Program Participation
- C-05 Quality Assurance and Monitoring (Continuous Quality Improvement)
- C-06 Referrals
- C-07 Implementation Challenges
- C-08 Marketing
- C-09 Participant Outcomes
 - 9.1 Community Individuals and Couples
 - 9.2 Incarcerated Individuals

Part 4: Federal Financial Report (FFR) SF-425

Found at:

http://web.archive.org/web/20221217014746/https:/www.acf.hhs.gov/grants/discretionary-postaward-requirements

Please address each reporting area. Once you complete the PPR packet, upload it, along with the ACF-OGM-SF-PPR Cover Page, as a Grant Note in GrantSolutions. Please contact your OFA Federal Program Specialist for additional guidance.

PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT OF 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13) STATEMENT OF PUBLIC BURDEN: The purpose of this information collection is to support program performance monitoring and program improvement activities for Healthy Marriage and Responsible Fatherhood programs. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and reviewing the collection of information. This collection of information is required to retain a benefit (SEC. 403. [42 U.S.C. 603]). The answers you give will be kept private. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB # is 0970-0566 and the expiration date is 3/31/2027. If you have any comments on this collection of information, please contact Hannah McInerney at nformatica.npr.com.

Part 1: ACF-OGM-SF-PPR Cover Page

Part 2: Appendix B – PROGRAM INDICATORS

Part 3: Appendix C – PERFORMANCE MEASURES

C-01 RECRUITMENT

1. Recruitment methods used during the reporting period

Phone, mail, or email outreach	
Social media (such as Facebook, blogs, or Instagram)	
Street outreach (recruiting people in person in their neighborhoods or	
places they frequent)	
Referrals from inside your organization	
Referrals from external organizations	
On-site recruitment at external agencies or events	
Other	

2. Agencies and organizations that provided referrals during the reporting period

Hospitals, maternity clinics, or doctors' offices	
Schools	
Places of worship or faith-based community centers	
Child support agencies (voluntary enrollment)	
Child support agencies (court ordered to enroll in a program like this)	
Employment assistance centers or one-stops	
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) offices	
Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	
(WIC) agencies	
Head Start	
Healthy Start	
Child protective services (voluntary enrollment)	
Child protective services (court ordered to enroll in a program like this)	
Other child welfare agencies (voluntary enrollment)	
Other child welfare agencies (court ordered to enroll in a program like this)	
Probation and parole	
Correctional facilities	
External organizations	
Self-referrals	
Other	

3. FTE staff dedicated to recruitment during the reporting period	Number of staff
<1 FTE	
1 to 1.9 FTE	
2 to 2.9 FTE	
3 to 3.9 FTE	
4 to 4.9 FTE	
5 or more FTE	

4. Program applicants (during the reporting period) who reported hearing about the program through each source	Percent of applicants ^a
My school offered the program or class	
Newspaper ad, billboards, or a flyer	
Radio ad, TV commercial, or news story	
Internet ad or social media such as Facebook, Twitter	
Government agency, such as the Office of Child Support Enforcement,	
TANF, WIC, Child Welfare (CPS), parole/probation office, other agency	
Community organization, such as a school, hospital, maternity clinic,	
doctor's office, place of worship, Head Start, or Healthy Start center	
Program offered in prison or criminal justice facility	
Program staff in the community or at a community event, such as a	
street fair	
Word of mouth (friends, family, acquaintances)	
Other	

^aApplicants may provide multiple responses, so total may exceed 100 percent.

5. Primary reason applicant chose to enroll in the program	Percent of applicants
To learn about being a better parent	
To learn how to improve their personal relationships, such as with their	
partner or co-parent	
To find a job or a better job	
To meet a school requirement	
Friends were coming	
Spouse/partner asked them to come	
Parole/probation officer told them to enroll in a program like this	
A court ordered them to enroll in a program like this	
None of the above	

C-02 APPLICANT CHARACTERISTICS

1. Demographic Characteristics	Percent of applicants
1. Sex	
Female	
Male	
2. Age	
13 – 15 years	
16 – 17 years	
18 – 20 years	
21 – 24 years	
25 – 34 years	
35 – 44 years	
45 – 54 years	
55 years or older	
3. Race ^a	
American Indian or Alaska Native	
Asian	
Black or African American	
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	
White	
Other	
4. Ethnicity	
Hispanic or Latino	
Not Hispanic or Latino	
5. Primary language	
English	
Spanish	
English and Spanish equally	
Other	

^aApplicants may provide multiple responses, so total may exceed 100 percent.

2. Economic stability	Percent of applicants
1. Educational attainment	
No degree or diploma	
General Educational Development (GED)	
High school diploma	
Vocational/technical certification	
Some college, but no degree	
Associate's degree	
Bachelor's degree	
Master's degree or advanced degree	
2. Employment status ^a	
Full-time employed (usually work 35 or more hours a week)	
Part-time employed (usually work 1-34 hour a week)	
Employed but number of hours changes from week to week	
Temporary, occasional, or seasonal employment, or odd jobs for pay	
Stay at home parent or homemaker	
Not currently employed	
Actively looking for work	
(among those not currently employed and stay at home parents only)	
3. Receipt of assistance in the past month by anyone in the household	
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	
Supplemental Security Income (SSI)	
Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI)	
Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP)/Food stamps	
Women, Infants, and Children (WIC)	
Unemployment insurance	
Housing choice voucher (sometimes called Section 8)	
Cash assistance	
Child support	
4. Earnings in past 30 days	
No earnings in the past 30 days	
\$1 - \$499	
\$500 - \$1,000	
\$1,001 - \$2,000	
\$2,001 - \$3,000	
\$3,001 - \$4,000	
\$4,001 - \$5,000	
More than \$5,000	

^aApplicants may provide multiple responses, so total may exceed 100 percent.

3. Family status	Percent of applicants
1. Marital status	
Married	
Engaged	
Separated	
Divorced	
Widowed	
Never married/single	
2. Non-marital partner status	
No current partner (unpartnered or single)	
Romantically involved or in a committed relationship with someone on a	
steady basis	
Involved in an on-again and off-again relationship	
3. Living with partner	
All of the time	
Most of the time	
Some of the time	
None of the time	
4. Applicant or partner is pregnant	
 Average number of biological or adopted children younger than 24 years old (not including pregnancies) 	
 Average number of biological or adopted children who are 24 or younger who live with applicants all or most of the time 	
 Average number of non-biological and non-adopted children who are 24 or younger and who live with applicants all or most of the time 	
8. Actively engaged in raising a child or youth up to age 24 who is the child of a partner or relative, but not the biological or legally adopted child the applicant	
9. Foster care status (among applicants under 21 only)	
Never been in foster care	
Left foster care over 6 months ago	
Recently (in the past 6 months) left foster care	
Currently in foster care	
Not sure	

Characteristics of Community Individual and Couple Clients at Beginning of Program

4. Parenting and co-parenting

Outcome	Average score	Range	Number of respondents
Nurturing parenting ^a			
How often accepts child the way he or she is		1 (always) to 5 (never	
Feels they and their child understand each		1 (always) to 5	
other		(never	
Discipline ^a			
Hits, spanks, grabs, or uses physical punishment		1 (yes) 0 (no)	
Yells, shouts, or screams at child when he/she is mad at him/her		1 (yes) 0(no)	
Talks about what child did wrong		1 (yes) 0 (no)	
Frequency saw child in past month ^a			
Every day or almost every day (%)		0–100	
One to three times a week (%)		0–100	
One to three times a month (%)		0–100	
Did not see child in past month (%)		0–100	

^a Responses averaged across respondent's youngest and oldest children, if applicable

5. Economic stability

Outcome	Average score	Range	Number of respondents
Willing to work			
I would like to learn new job skills		1 (strongly agree) to 4 (strongly disagree)	
I feel confident in my ability to conduct an effective job search for a job I want		1 (strongly agree) to 4 (strongly disagree)	

6. Relationships

Outcome	Average score	Range	Number of respondents
Attitudes about marriage			
Believes that it is better for children if parents are married		1 (strongly agree) to 4 (strongly	
Believes that living together is the same as being married		disagree) 1 (strongly agree) to 4 (strongly disagree)	
Relationship satisfaction			
Satisfaction with current relationship		1 (very satisfied) to 3 (not satisfied)	

Characteristics of Reentering Clients at Beginning of Program

7. Parenting and co-parenting

Outcome	Average score	Range	Number of respondents
Nurturing parenting ^a			
How often accepts child the way he or		1 (always) to 5	
she is		(never	
Feels they and their child understand		1 (always) to 5	
each other		(never	
Frequency spoke to child on phone in past month ^a			
Every day or almost every day (%)		0–100	
One to three times a week (%)		0–100	
One to three times a month (%)		0–100	
Did not talk to child on phone in past month (%)		0–100	

^a Responses averaged across respondent's youngest and oldest children, if applicable

8. Economic stability

Outcome	Average score	Range	Number of respondents
Willing to work			
Would like to learn new job skills		1 (strongly agree) to 4 (strongly disagree)	

9. Relationships

Outcome	Average score	Range	Number of respondents
Attitudes about marriage			
Believes that it is better for children if parents are married		1 (strongly agree) to 4 (strongly disagree)	
Believes that living together is the same as being married		1 (strongly agree) to 4 (strongly disagree)	

10. Personal development

Outcome	Average score	Range	Number of respondents
Hopefulness			
Has hope when thinks of his future		1 (strongly agree) to 4 (strongly disagree)	

C-03 PROGRAM ENROLLMENT

1. Screening for intimate partner violence or teen dating violence

	intimate part	Screened for Iner violence ng violence
	#	%
Number and proportion of applicants screened for intimate partner violence or teen dating violence		

2. Enrollment targets and actual enrollment

	Number of reentering individuals ^a	Number of community individuals	Number of community couples ^b
Enrollment target for current grant year			
Enrolled since the beginning of current grant year to the end of reporting period			
% of grant-year target met to date			

^aReentering individuals are a target population of clients who have or previously had contact with the criminal justice system.

^bThe number of community couples is the count of the number of couple units.

C-04 PARTICIPATION

1. Initial participation

	Number of community individuals		Number of community couples		reent	per of ering duals
	#	%	#	%	#	% ^a
Participated in their first <u>program service</u> (workshop session series occurrence or individual service contact) during the time period shown						
Within 1 week of program enrollment						
Between 2 and 4 weeks of program enrollment						
Between 1 and 2 months of program enrollment						
More than 2 months since program enrollment						
Not yet participated in a service						
Participated in their first <u>workshop session</u> <u>series occurrence</u> during the time period shown						
Within 1 week of program enrollment						
Between 2 and 4 weeks of program enrollment						
Between 1 and 2 months of program enrollment						
More than 2 months since program enrollment						
Not yet participated in a workshop session series occurrence						

^aDenominator is all clients/couples enrolled during reporting period.

2.a Attendance at workshops

The table(s) in this section reports participation only for session series that are completed.

nFORM generates a table that reports on participation for each workshop offered by the grantee. The primary population served, workshop name, workshop activities, workshop elements, and workshop type automatically fill from what grantees enter in nFORM.

Example of nFORM-Generated Table on Participation:

Primary Population Served: Workshop Name: Workshop Activities: Workshop Elements: Workshop Type:		Community individuals		
		% a		
Workshop Retention				
Did not attend any workshop session series hours				
Attended 1 to 24% of all workshop session series hours				
Attended 25 to 49% of all workshop session series hours				
Attended 50 to 74% of all workshop session series hours				
Attended 75 to 89% of all workshop session series hours				
Attended 90 to 99% of all workshop session series hours				
Attended 100% or more of all workshop session series hours				

^a Denominator is all clients/couples enrolled during reporting period who are registered for/or attended the named workshop.

2.b Primary workshop participation

The tables in this section report participation in all session series, both series that are completed and series that are still in progress, where attendance has been fully recorded.

Table 1: Cumulative participation in primary workshop hours through end of reporting period

Community individuals	Target hours (current grant year)	Average hours	Average %
Grant year 5— Participation in primary workshop hours for clients enrolled in grant year 5			
Grant year 4— Participation in primary workshop hours for clients enrolled in grant year 4			
Grant year 3— Participation in primary workshop hours for clients enrolled in grant year 3			
Grant year 2— Participation in primary workshop hours for clients enrolled in grant year 2			
Grant year 1— Participation in primary workshop hours for clients enrolled in grant year 1			

NOTE: Table 1 includes clients/couples enrolled from start of grant year 1 through reporting period end date who have attended at least one primary workshop session.

Table 2: Achievement of participation benchmarks during reporting period

Community individuals	Count	of clients a	chieving be	nchmark in	current gra	nt vear	Target count of	
Benchmarks for participation in primary workshops (BP)	Clients enrolled in grant year 1 (GY1)	Clients enrolled in grant year 2 (GY2)	Clients enrolled in grant year 3 (GY3)	Clients enrolled in grant year 4 (GY4)	Clients enrolled in grant year 5 (GY5)	Total clients (TC=sum of all GYs)	clients for bench- mark (TGT)	Percent of target met (PCT=T C/TGT)
Initial attendees: Attended at least one primary workshop session								
Halfway attendees: Attended at least 50% of primary workshop hours								
Completed clients: Attended at least 90% of primary workshop hours								
Fully finished clients: Attended at least 100% of primary workshop hours								

NOTE: Table 2 includes clients/couples enrolled from start of grant year 1 through reporting period end date who have achieved at least one benchmark during the selected reporting period; only clients in populations with participation benchmark targets in the selected reporting period are included. Enrollment numbers for the current grant year may be found in [QPR or PPR] Section [B-01 or C-03]. For other grant years, refer to past QPRs/PPRs or the enrollment tab of the query tool.

Table 2 Columns:

Column (BP) lists the benchmarks for client participation in primary workshops for which ACF requires grantees to set annual targets and measure progress towards those targets. The grantee's current grant year targets for each benchmark are presented in column (TGT). Progress towards the targets is measured based on client participation in the grant year in both completed and ongoing workshop session series, where session attendance has been fully recorded.

Columns GY1 to GY5 include the count of clients enrolled in each grant year who achieved the respective benchmark for participation in the current grant year. Columns are only shown for the current and prior grant years. For participation among those enrolled in the current grant year, the rows are measured cumulatively such that those who attended at least 100% are included in the "attended at least one" row as well.

Column (TC) is the total count of clients who achieved each benchmark in the grant year, whether the clients were enrolled in the current or prior grant years (sum of all GY columns). Column (TGT) is the grantee-established target for the number of clients who will achieve each participation benchmark during the grant year, regardless of when they enrolled.

Column (PCT) is the percent of the target met for each participation benchmark.

Section 2b. tables 1 and 2 (including footnotes) repeat for <u>each</u> applicable population.

3. Substantive Individual Service Contacts

3a. Client receipt of substantive individual service contacts (ISCs)	Community couples			munity /iduals		ntering viduals
Cumulative number of substantive ISCs received	# %		#	%	#	%
None						
One to four						
Five to seven						
Eight or more						

Note: The numerator for percentage calculations of substantive individual service contacts received (and the value in the # column(s)) is the count of clients/couples who have received the specified range of substantive individual service contacts since enrollment. The denominator for percentage calculations is all clients/couples in the identified population enrolled since April 7, 2021, whether or not they have received substantive individual service contacts.

3b. Average cumulative number of substantive ISCs received per client/couple through end of reporting period	Community couples	Community individuals	Reentering individuals
Average cumulative number received per client/couple through end of reporting period	Average #	Average #	Average #
Enrolled across all grant years			
Enrolled in grant year 5			
Enrolled in grant year 4			
Enrolled in grant year 3			
Enrolled in grant year 2			
Enrolled in grant year 1			

Note: The numerator for calculations of average substantive individual service contacts received is the cumulative count of substantive individual service contacts received by all clients/couples in the specified population who were enrolled in the specified grant year. The denominator for average calculations is all clients/couples in the identified population enrolled in the specified grant year, whether or not they have received substantive individual service contacts.

C-05 QUALITY ASSURANCE AND MONITORING (CONTINUOUS QUALITY IMPROVEMENT)

1. Staff training

1a. In the reporting period, did the following staff receive their initial training on the program curriculum(a)?	Yes	No	Do not have this position on staff
a. Facilitators			
b. Case managers			
c. Employment specialists			
d. Supervisors			
e. Program managers			
f. Other program staff			

1b. In the reporting period, did the following staff receive follow-up or refresher training on the program curriculum(a)?	Yes	No	Do not have this position on staff
a. Facilitators			
b. Case managers			
c. Employment specialists			
d. Supervisors			
e. Program managers			
f. Other program staff			

1c. In the reporting period, did the following staff receive training other than on the program curriculum(a)?	Yes	No	Do not have this position on staff
a. Facilitators			
b. Case managers			
c. Employment specialists			
d. Supervisors			
e. Program managers			
f. Other program staff			

2. Frequency of direct observation

Were the following staff observed by a supervisor or another experienced facilitator in the reporting period?	Yes	No
a. Facilitators hired in the reporting period		
b. Experienced facilitators		

3. Staff supervision

av foll	the reporting period, on erage, how often did the owing staff meet with their pervisors one-on-one?	At least weekly	Biweekly	Monthly	Once	Not in reporting period	Do not have this position on staff
a.	Facilitators						
b.	Case managers						
c.	Employment specialists						
d.	Supervisors						
e.	Program managers						
f.	Other program staff						

4. Staff meetings

we dis bu	the reporting period, how often re staff meetings held (such as cussions about CQI and team- ilding meetings) that included a following staff?	At least weekly	Biweekly	Monthly	Once	Not in reporting period	Do not have this position on staff
a.	Facilitators						
b.	Case managers						
C.	Employment specialists						
d.	Supervisors						
e.	Program managers						
f.	Other program staff						

5. Caseloads

	Average
Average number of participants assigned to	
each case manager	

C-06 REFERRALS

	Community individuals				ty Reentering individuals	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Number of referrals participants received during reporting period						
No referrals						
One to four referrals						
Five to eight referrals						
More than eight referrals						
Average number of referrals						-
Number of participants who followed-up on referrals (of those who received at least one referral)						
Did not follow-up on any referrals						
Followed-up 1 to 50% of referrals received						
Followed-up on 51% or more of referrals received						
Unknown						

C-07 IMPLEMENTATION CHALLENGES

1. Common implementation challenges

		Not a problem	Somewhat of a problem	A serious problem
a.	Obtaining referrals from external organizations			
b.	Recruiting participants			
C.	Enrolling the intended target population			
d.	Getting enrollees to start participating in services			
e.	Getting enrollees to attend regularly			
f.	Keeping participants engaged during sessions			
g.	Getting enrollees to complete the program			
h.	Recruiting qualified staff			
i.	Maintaining staff performance			
j.	Ensuring facilitators understand content			
k.	Covering all program content in the time allotted			
١.	Implementing curriculum with fidelity			
m.	Having adequate program facilities			
n.	Cooperation of recruitment and referral sources			
о.	Working with service delivery partners			
p.	Experiencing extreme weather or natural disasters			
q.	Getting participants to complete pre-test or post-test			
r.	Retaining staff			
s.	Filling open staff positions			
t.	Providing comprehensive case management services			
u.	Providing grant-funded participation supports			
۷.	Entering and reporting data			

2. Staff turnover challenges

	Full time staff	Part time staff
Number of staff funded by the		
grant during the reporting period		
Number of staff funded by the		
grant who left during the reporting		
period		
Number of staff funded by the		
grant who started during the		
reporting period		

C-08 MARKETING

1. Advertising purchased, donated, or conducted during the reporting period

Newspaper ads or publicity	
TV spots	
Billboards, including those on public transportation or bus stop (that is, bench	
ads)	
Radio ad or announcements	
Internet ads	
Social marketing (such as Facebook or Twitter)	
Flyers	
Presentations to external organizations	
Word-of-mouth campaign/outreach by program graduates	
Other	
Newspaper ads or publicity	

C-09 PARTICIPANT OUTCOMES:

1. Characteristics of Community Individual and Couple Clients at Program Exit

A. Parenting and co-parenting

Outcome	Average posttest score	Range	Number of respondents
Nurturing parenting ^a			
How often accepts child the way he or she is		1 (always) to 5 (never	
Feels they and their child understand each other		1 (always) to 5 (never	
Discipline ^a			
Hits, spanks, grabs, or uses physical punishment		1 (yes) 0 (no)	
Yells, shouts, or screams at child when he/she is mad at him/her		1 (yes) 0(no)	
Talks about what child did wrong		1 (yes) 0 (no)	
Frequency saw child in past month ^a			
Every day or almost every day (%)		0–100	
One to three times a week (%)		0–100	
One to three times a month (%)		0–100	
Did not see child in past month (%)		0–100	
Helpfulness of program for parenting			
Overall, how helpful the program was for parenting		1 (not at all) to 5 (extremely helpful)	
		Not addressed by program	

^a Responses averaged across respondent's youngest and oldest children, if applicable

B. Economic stability

Outcome	Average posttest score	Range	Number of respondents
Willing to work			
I would like to learn new job skills		1 (strongly agree) to 4 (strongly disagree)	
I feel confident in my ability to conduct an effective job search for a job I want		1 (strongly agree) to 4 (strongly disagree)	
Current employment status (%)			
Full-time employment (usually work 35 hours or more a week)		0–100	
Part-time employment (usually work 1 – 34 hours a week)		0–100	
Employed, but hours vary		0–100	
Temporary, occasional, or seasonal employment, or odd jobs for pay		0–100	
Stay-at-home parent or homemaker		0-100	
Not currently employed		0–100	
Actively looking for work		0–100	
In school full, working toward GED, or in college or other post-high school education		0–100	
Employment stability			
Length of time in current job		To be determined	
Perceived improvements in money management			
Overall, helpfulness of program for financial well-being		1 (not at all) to 5 (extremely helpful)	
		Not addressed by program	

C. Relationships

Outcome	Average posttest score	Range	Number of respondents
Attitudes about marriage			
Believes that it is better for children if parents are married		1 (strongly agree) to 4 (strongly disagree)	
Believes that living together is the same as being married		1 (strongly agree) to 4 (strongly disagree)	
Relationship satisfaction			
Satisfaction with current relationship		1 (very satisfied) to 3 (not satisfied)	
Helpfulness of program for co-parenting			
Overall how helpful the program was for co-parenting		1 (not at all) to 5 (extremely helpful)	
		Not addressed by program	

D. Personal development

Outcome	Average posttest score	Range	Number of respondents
Personal agency			
Believes has little control over things that happen to him		1 (strongly agree) to 4 (strongly disagree)	
Hopefulness			
Has hope when thinks of his future		1 (strongly agree) to 4 (strongly disagree)	
Awareness of sources of social support			
Doesn't know where to go for help if had money troubles		1 (strongly agree) to 4 (strongly disagree)	
Has people to talk to if facing a crisis		1 (strongly agree) to 4 (strongly disagree)	

E. Perceived helpfulness of program

Outcome	Percent reporting	Range	Number of respondents
Overall helpfulness of the program		1 (not at all) to 5 (extremely helpful)	

2. Characteristics of Reentering Clients at Program Exit

A. Parenting and co-parenting

Outcome	Average posttest score	Range	Number of respondents
Nurturing parenting ^a			
How often accepts child the way he or		1 (always) to 5	
she is		(never	
Feels they and their child understand		1 (always) to 5	
each other		(never	
Frequency saw child in past month ^a			
Every day or almost every day (%)		0–100	
One to three times a week (%)		0–100	
One to three times a month (%)		0–100	
Did not see child in past month (%)		0–100	
Frequency spoke to child on phone in			
past month ^a			
Every day or almost every day (%)		0–100	
One to three times a week (%)		0–100	
One to three times a month (%)		0–100	
Did not talk to child on phone in past		0–100	
month (%)		0-100	
Helpfulness of program for parenting			
Overall, how helpful the program was for		1 (not at all) to	
parenting		5 (extremely	
		helpful)	
		Not addressed	
		by program	

^a Responses averaged across respondent's youngest and oldest children, if applicable

B. Economic stability

Outcome	Average posttest score	Range	Number of respondents
Willing to work			
Would like to learn new job skills		1 (strongly agree) to 4 (strongly disagree)	
Current employment status			
Has a job (%)		0–100	
Job is work-release (among those with a job) (%)		0–100	
Employment stability			
Length of time in current job		To be determined	
Perceived improvements in economic stability			
Overall helpfulness of program for financial well-being		1 (not at all) to 5 (extremely helpful)	
		Not addressed by program	

C. Relationships

Outcome	Average posttest score	Range	Number of respondents
Helpfulness of program for co-parenting			
Overall how helpful the program was for co-parenting		1 (not at all) to 5 (extremely helpful)	
		Not addressed by program	

D. Personal development

Outcome	Average posttest score	Range	Number of respondents
Personal agency			
Believes has little control over things that happen to him		1 (strongly agree) to 4 (strongly disagree)	
Hopefulness			
Has hope when thinks of his future		1 (strongly agree) to 4 (strongly disagree)	
Awareness of sources of social support			
Doesn't know where to go for help if had money troubles		1 (strongly agree) to 4 (strongly disagree)	
Has people to talk to if facing a crisis		1 (strongly agree) to 4 (strongly disagree)	

E. Perceived helpfulness of program

Outcome	Percent reporting	Range	Number of respondents
Overall helpfulness of the program		1 (not at all) to 5 (extremely helpful)	

Part 4: Federal Financial Report